

# Policy Paper | Unilateral Recognition of Palestinian Statehood: A Dangerous Misstep for Europe

**David Institute for Policy and Strategy | IDSF** 

June 10, 2025

# **Executive Summary**

A unilateral recognition of Palestinian statehood by France or any European country would be a grave strategic and moral error, especially in the wake of Hamas's October 7, 2023 massacres of Israeli civilians. Such a move, far from advancing peace, would have dangerous consequences for Europe, the Middle East, and the world:

- Rewarding Terrorism: Unilateral recognition would be perceived as rewarding Hamas's terror campaign. Coming so soon after the worst massacre of Jews since the Holocaust, a recognition now signals that violence and atrocities can yield political gains.
- Undermining Peace: By bypassing negotiations, unilateral recognition undercuts any notion of a peace process. It grants statehood as a symbolic gesture while disincentivizing the Palestinian leadership to meet any meaningful requirements or carrying out reforms such as renouncing terrorism or compromise, pushing a genuine solution further out of reach.
- Fracturing Western Unity: This step would risk undermining European unity and drive another wedge between Europe and the United States, damaging the transatlantic alliance at a time of global uncertainty when it is most needed. It also subverts the notion of an EU consensus on foreign policy, as stipulated by its founding treaties.
- Empowering Radical Islamism: Handing Islamist radicals an apparent victory would fuel and energize global jihadist momentum that Europe is already struggling to contain, and directly risk domestic stability in Europe and the United States. This clear and present threat was detailed in the recently leaked report about the Muslim Brotherhood in France.
- Putting Jewish communities in Europe and worldwide at real risk: In an already tough environment where anti-Semitic incidents are skyrocketing post-October 7<sup>th</sup>, evidence shows that bestowing an apparent victory to Hamas and radical Islamists over Israel is likely to pour fuel on that fire, and embolden anti-Semitism at the expense of the safety of Jewish communities, claiming a heavy cost on the already heavily-threatened diaspora.
- Unilateral action imposed by third parties by fiat undercuts the demand from Israel to refrain from taking unilateral action itself, therefore paving the path for Jerusalem to take steps such as enacting sovereignty over the territories, which European countries urged to avoid.
- Domestic Appeasement of Extremist Factions Over Strategy: The push to recognize "Palestine" seems to be driven less by rational policy and more by succumbing to domestic



political pressures. Some leaders seek to appease radicalized constituencies and mass protest movements, mistaking emotional, short-term placation for consistent statecraft. This weakness would be well perceived and exploited by radical jihadist elements in Europe and worldwide and risks favoring temporary appeasement at the cost of long-term security.

European leaders must firmly reject this neo-colonial approach of imposing outcomes from afar, and favor dialogue with Israel and a strong stance against Hamas and radical Islamism. Unilateral recognition will not hold; it would constitute an empty gesture, emotional gesture and moral grandstanding that would only weaken Europe's credibility and security on the world stage. Instead, European countries and the EU should recommit to principled diplomacy by leading dialogue with Israel, and making real requirements from the Palestinian Authority and the Palestinian people to reject terrorism, rather than rewarding terror or indulging in symbolic politics. Only by upholding moral principles and strategic clarity can Europe truly contribute to a just and lasting peace.



#### Unilateral Recognition of Palestinian Statehood: A Dangerous Misstep for Europe

Several European governments have expressed their intent to unilaterally recognize a Palestinian state, spurred by recent campaigns in France. Yet such a **one-sided move**, especially now, in the wake of Hamas's October 7, 2023 massacre in Israel – would be a **grave strategic error**. Such recognition of Palestinian statehood at this time would **undermine Western security interests**, **reward terrorism**, **embolden radical Islamism**, **and destabilize prospects for peace**. It also highlights the troubling domestic motivations behind these recognition bids, from the **appeasement of radicalized constituencies** to a resurgence of **anti-Western Islamist influence** in Europe. European leaders must remember they are not colonial powers; imposing outcomes in the Israeli–Palestinian conflict without negotiations not only smacks of hypocrisy but also risks severe **blowback for Europe's own stability** and will highlight disagreements within Europe at a critical moment when unity is essential. The following analysis outlines seven core reasons why the recognition is dangerously misguided, backed by recent reports and expert testimony. *The message to policymakers is clear: proceed with caution and strategic foresight – not emotional politics or moral grandstanding.* 

#### Sending the Message that "Terrorism Pays"

Unilateral recognition now would send a perverse signal: **Terrorism yields political rewards**. Israel has warned that European recognition of a Palestinian state at this moment **will be viewed as a reward for Hamas's October 7 atrocities.** Indeed, Hamas's bloody massacre of some 1,200 Israeli men, women, and children on Oct. 7, 2023 was the worst terrorist pogrom against Jews since the Holocaust. It is worth noting that dozens of French, British, American, and citizens of other nationalities were among the victims of that attack. If, shortly after that atrocity, European states grant the Palestinians a diplomatic prize long sought by Hamas, it **validates the terrorists' strategy**. Even **U.S. officials firmly oppose** any unilateral Palestinian statehood push, calling it "a reward for Hamas' shocking attack on Israel".

Recent Palestinian public opinion only underscores this dangerous narrative. In the months following the war, "three-quarters of respondents said they thought the attention from the war could lead to recognition of Palestinian statehood"<sup>2</sup>. Hamas's popularity in Judea and Samaria surged after the October 7 massacre, with polls showing<sup>2,8</sup> a

majority of Palestinians endorsing the attacks. Analysts warn that European recognition now would "validate the dangerous narrative that mass murder brings diplomatic reward," reinforcing the belief that violence, not compromise, is the path to political gains. In short, it would incentivize more terrorism, not just against Israel but potentially against the West in general. The lesson would be clear: extremism and brutality pay off.

## **Undermining U.S. Interests and Transatlantic Unity**

Unilateral recognition also threatens to **fracture the Western alliance** and undermine the U.S. leadership in the Middle East. The United States has **explicitly warned its European allies against this move**. Washington has lobbied the UK, France and others in private, "**unequivocally rejecting any effort to unilaterally recognize a Palestinian state**", according to U.S. Embassy statements. American officials argue that bypassing negotiations now would undercut a coordinated transatlantic approach and harm any prospects for a genuine peace settlement. Going rogue on Palestinian statehood would thus **drive a wedge between Europe and the U.S.**, at a time when unity is vital in confronting shared challenges from Islamist terrorism through the Russia-Ukraine war to China's ambitions toward Taiwan and Iran's aggression.

Even within Europe, the issue is divisive – raising the risk of EU disunity on a critical foreign policy matter. Several key EU members (including Germany, the Czech Republic, Hungary and others) have voiced strong opposition to unilateral recognition. Germany's government, for instance, has warned against precipitous moves and stressed support for a negotiated two-state outcome. This split highlights a broader point: such unilateralism erodes transatlantic and intra-European cohesion, weakening the West's collective leverage. Instead of presenting a united front, Europe would be undermining its closest ally, the United States, and sending mixed signals that adversaries are sure to exploit.

#### Sign of European Hypocrisy and One-Sided Diplomacy

The unilateral recognition campaign is also **fundamentally hypocritical**. European leaders frequently insist that **Israel cannot impose unilateral outcomes** – for example, cautioning Jerusalem against dictating Gaza's future or enacting sovereignty over the Judea and Samaria territories ("West Bank") without agreement. "**Regarding Gaza, it is not up to the Israelis to decide... the future governance of the Gaza Strip," one French official declared recently,** 



emphasizing that Palestinians must have a say in their own fate. Yet those same European actors now propose to impose a Palestinian state from the outside without any negotiations with Israel, or even with the Palestinians' elected representatives. Such a move flagrantly contradicts the EU's professed commitment to a negotiated two-state solution. As one Reuters analysis observed, President Macron's critics argue recognition "should come as part of negotiations towards a two-state solution – not before". By acting unilaterally, Europe undercuts the very diplomatic process it claims to champion.

Moreover, this approach echoes a **colonial-era mindset**, wherein European powers presume to redraw Middle East maps by fiat. **European leaders are not colonial viceroys**, and they must stop behaving as if they can **arbitrarily confer statehood** or dictate final-status terms to Israel and the Palestinians. Imposing outcomes "from above", however well-intentioned, **ignores the on-the-ground realities** and the complex security considerations Israel faces. It also demeans Palestinian agency by suggesting their statehood is a gift to be bestowed by Europe, rather than something to be earned through mutual compromise and institution-building. In sum, unilateral recognition is **paternalistic and tone-deaf diplomacy**. It would strain Europe's credibility by revealing a double standard: one set of rules for Israeli actions, another for European.

It may be useful to add that European Union treaties mandate that any foreign policy decisions shall be adopted only by consensus, by a unanimous vote: "Decisions under this Chapter shall be taken by the European Council and the Council acting unanimously" (TEU – Treaty on the European Union, article 31(1)). This includes CSDP – Common Security and Defense Policy resolutions – as well as military expeditions and recognition of countries. Article 22 further stipulates that "the European Council, acting unanimously, shall define the Union's overall foreign and security policy objectives and shall address such policy to the institutions of the Union." This unanimity has become the hallmark of the European project, and undermining this solidarity and common action can, by logical extension, risk the architecture of the EU as a whole.

## **Undercutting Any Peace Prospects and Removing Incentives for Reform**

Far from advancing peace, premature recognition would likely **destabilize any future negotiations** – by removing incentives for the Palestinian side to make the hard choices needed for a durable agreement that will lead to peace and stability. As long as the promise of statehood



is held out as a reward contingent on renouncing terror and negotiating peace with Israel, Palestinian leaders have pressure to reform. But if that reward is granted upfront, it would marginalize negotiations and solidify extremist positions. Why would the Palestinian Authority (PA) crack down on terrorism or curb its virulent anti-Israel incitement if it can gain international recognition regardless?

"Rewarding the PA with recognition would signal to Palestinian leaders that reforms are unnecessary, and that their choice to enable and fund terror, hate education, and corruption is no obstacle to international legitimacy," warns analyst Asher Fredman. Indeed, even under heavy U.S., Israeli, and even EU pressure, the PA has rejected their demands to discontinue paying stipends to convicted terrorists and end the glorification of violence in its media and textbooks. Granting statehood now would torpedo these reform efforts, effectively telling the PA it can continue business as usual and still enjoy the fruits of statehood.

The consequences for peace would be dire. Unilateral recognition "would also fatally undermine prospects for a negotiated peace," Fredman observes. It would confirm to Palestinian hardliners that they "can make political gains without renouncing violence or abandoning the extremist goal of erasing Israel from the map." In Israel, the move would demolish what little trust remains – especially after October 7 – that diplomacy can deliver security. Israeli society, still recovering from Hamas's onslaught, is unwilling to concede territory or sovereignty on the basis of empty promises. In the end, without Hamas's defeat and a fundamental change on the Palestinian side any talk of handing over strategic land for a Palestinian state will be "flatly rejected" by Israelis, especially since a 106 out of 120 majority in the Knesset flatly rejected the notion of a Palestinian state imposed by foreign power, reflecting a clear majority in the Israeli public. In other words, premature recognition doesn't bring peace closer; it pushes it further away, by rewarding intransigence and poisoning the well of negotiations.

The Palestinian Authority, not to mention Hamas, does not meet the requirements that the European Union itself put together, does not respect minority rights nor meets any of the meaningful criteria set by the international community. Circumventing these principles sets a dangerous precedent to future cases in Taiwan, but also in the heart of Europe, in regions that have already expressed willingness to disengage and declare independence, such as Catalonia.



#### **Domestic Pressures: Appeasing Radicalized Constituencies**

Why, then, are some European politicians so eager to pursue this risky path? The answer lies largely in **domestic politics** – specifically, the perceived need to appease restive Muslim and far-left constituencies at home. Across Europe, the Israel-Hamas war has ignited tumultuous street demonstrations, many dominated by radical Islamist and ultraleft agitators. France, Spain, Ireland, Norway and others at the forefront of the recognition push "are not doing so in a rational political process, but due to domestic political pressure", a Jerusalem Center for Security and Foreign Affairs report finds<sup>5</sup>. In France (home to ~6.8 million Muslims, ~10% of the population), President Macron initially voiced solidarity with Israel after Oct. 7 – but "very quickly shifted gears" and floated Palestinian state recognition "in the midst of the fighting". largely to quell anger on the streets. The backdrop was stark: a 400% spike in anti-Semitic incidents in France after the war began, banned pro-Palestinian protests erupting in Paris anyway, and a far-left party (La France Insoumise) surging in popularity among Muslim voters<sup>5</sup>. President Macron, seeing the political center losing its hold, calculated that offering a nod to Palestinian aspirations might defuse tensions and outflank the extremists. In essence, unilateral recognition became an act of **domestic appeasement** – a symbolic concession to placate a volatile constituency.

A similar story played out in Spain. The Socialist-led Sánchez government, reliant on the hard-left Podemos/Sumar party, officially recognized in a Palestinian state in April 2024 – a decision driven "not only by ideological commitment, but also as a direct response to growing public pressure"<sup>5</sup>. For months, mass rallies in over 50 Spanish cities had thundered "Stop the genocide" in Gaza; universities saw strikes and demands to sever ties with Israel. The far-left deputy prime minister even led chants of "From the river to the sea" – a slogan effectively calling for Israel's elimination, already recognized as anti-Semitic rhetoric by the US Congress and the Anti-Defamation League – which earned international condemnation but boosted her standing among Muslim communities. The message to Spain's leaders was clear, as in France: align with the protests or risk political backlash. Recognizing "Palestine" was first and foremost, a domestic political maneuver.

Even in Germany, which has not joined the recognition bandwagon, **domestic pressures** are mounting. Germany's 5.5 million Muslims have made their voices heard with large pro-Palestinian protests in Berlin and other cities, sometimes accompanied by spiking antisemitic acts



(a 320% increase) and even attacks on Jewish institutions. Former Chancellor Olaf Scholz, while more cautious, felt compelled to endorse the "need for a Palestinian state" and increase aid to Gaza — moves seen as attempts to address Muslim public opinion. German politicians are keenly aware of the electoral math: Muslim voters far outnumber Jewish voters, and alienating the former can carry a heavy price. (They recall how in 2016 the ruling party lost tens of thousands of Turkish-German votes after recognizing the Armenian genocide).

In sum, **electoral demography and fear of unrest** seem to be driving European leaders to take positions that align with radicalized segments of their populations. Unilateral recognition of Palestinian state has become a **symbolic sop to the "Arab street"** of Europe – a way to signal empathy with Muslim communities, *even at the cost of undermining allies and long-term security*.

#### **Emboldening Radical Islamism and Rising Antisemitism in Europe**

There is also a broader, more alarming context: a wave of global jihadism and anti-Semitism is sweeping through Europe, and unilateral recognition risks pouring fuel on that fire. The Hamas-Israel war has catalyzed not only peaceful protests but also extremist agitation across European cities. In many demonstrations since October 7, crowds have chanted slogans calling for Israel's destruction while waving Hamas and Hezbollah flags. Far-left activists have marched alongside Islamist groups, amplifying calls for intifada (violent uprising). European officials fear that these mass mobilizations could turn into "hard-to-control Muslim riots such as the ones that occurred in the past in French banlieues", as one analysis notes. The specter of urban unrest, even outright clashes with authorities, is very real. This helps explain why European governments are so anxious to show they are "listening" to Muslim grievances. But appeasing the street with diplomatic gestures is a double-edged sword: it may encourage the Islamist narrative that Europe can be cowed by force. As radical preachers across the Middle East openly declared this fall, the struggle isn't only about Israel – it's about challenging the West. Hamas's former leader called for a global jihad "Day of Rage" on October 13, urging Muslims worldwide to "take to the streets" and apply jihad against Israel and its supporters. In a recorded message, he told followers this was "a moment for the application of jihad". Such incitement had immediate consequences: synagogues were attacked in Berlin, an Israeli diplomat was stabbed in Beijing, and an antisemitic mob in the North Caucasus went hunting for Jewish



travelers at an airport. Europe is **not immune to this surge** in jihadist fervor; on the contrary, **it** has become a principal theater for it.

European authorities are well aware of the Islamist radicalization within their borders. France's Interior Ministry recently sounded the alarm over the Muslim Brotherhood's clandestine influence, finding that the Brotherhood has gone to "great lengths to push its fundamentalist agenda across France and Europe." The confidential report, leaked in May 2025, describes a "long-term Islamist plan to infiltrate state institutions and change them from within," eroding secular society from the inside. It warns of a "subversive" project funded by foreign actors (like Qatar) aimed at influencing European policymakers on issues like immigration and the Palestinian cause. Indeed, Islamists have already "infiltrated the EU on multiple levels – in politics, academia", and even become advisors to European institutions on security and religious matters. This is not paranoid conjecture; it's documented by Western intelligence. In France, authorities have responded with tough measures – expelling radical imams who preach antisemitic hate or jihadist propaganda. Interior Minister Gérald Darmanin has deported clerics deemed "radical" on an expedited basis, vowing "firmness is the rule". These steps underscore the reality that Islamist extremism is viewed as a domestic threat to national cohesion and public safety in Europe.

In this climate, such recognition, could embolden the very extremists Europe is struggling to contain. Islamist ideologues would celebrate it as a victory for their cause – proof that relentless agitation (and even violence) can force Western concessions. Anti-Semitic actors would feel vindicated, seeing European governments symbolically siding with the "anti-Israel" narrative even as Jewish communities in Europe face intimidation. Already, the rate of anti-Jewish incidents has skyrocketed in countries like France and the UK since the war began. Over 1,150 antisemitic acts were recorded in France in 2023<sup>6</sup> – nearly quadruple the previous year. More than 180,000 people marched in Paris in November 2023 to protest this rising anti-Semitism. Europe's leaders should be doubling down on protecting their Jewish citizens and reasserting zero tolerance for hate. Yet granting unilateral recognition – essentially endorsing the maximalist Palestinian stance at a time of heightened anti-Jewish fervor – sends the opposite signal. It would reward the forces of intolerance and aggression, while betraying moderate voices (both Israeli and Palestinian) who seek genuine coexistence. In the long run, European security is interwoven with Israel's fight against jihadism. As one Italian senator starkly put it, "If Israel



**falls, Europe is next. Then North America.**" Israel is on the frontlines against groups that ultimately loathe the West and its values. Undercutting Israel by prematurely recognizing a terrortainted Palestinian pseudo-state is not enlightened policy – it is **strategic suicide**.

#### Conclusion: Strategic Patience, Not Reckless Symbolism

European policymakers face a pivotal choice. Unilateral recognition of Palestinian statehood may offer a momentary feel-good illusion of "doing something", but its costs would far outweigh any benefits. It would fracture Western unity, embolden terrorists, and sacrifice long-term peace prospects on the altar of short-term politics. In truth, such recognition "is not brave diplomacy. It is reckless virtue-signaling, disconnected from reality and blind to consequences." Europe gains nothing from abandoning its principled stance that a two-state solution must be achieved through negotiations, mutual recognition, and robust security guarantees. To truly help Palestinians, European leaders should use their influence to press for real reforms in the Palestinian Authority – ending incitement and corruption, cutting off terror financing ("pay-for-slay"), and fostering a culture of peace. At the same time, they should stand firm with the United States and moderate Arab states in insisting that Hamas's jihadist menace be defeated, not politically rewarded. Demonstrating that terrorism leads to defeat, not reward, is critical to changing the calculus of all actors involved.

Europe's own moral authority and security depend on getting this right. Rather than acting like patronizing colonial arbiters, EU leaders must recognize that **imposed solutions won't hold**. Peace can only emerge from the hard work of the parties themselves. Unilateral recognition now would not bring Palestinians closer to genuine statehood – it would likely create a **failed**, **terrorsheltering statelet** that imperils both Israel and the West. The West's strength has always come from unity around shared democratic values and a refusal to appease extremists. By **holding the line against ill-timed recognition**, Europe can demonstrate true strategic foresight and moral clarity. In the volatile post-October 7 world, that resolve is more important than ever. Europe should align with its transatlantic partners, **not with the forces of jihadism**, and help lay the groundwork for a real and lasting peace – one based on **negotiation**, **security**, **and mutual coexistence**, **not on unilateral gambits that reward terrorism**. In the final analysis, **standing firm against unilateral Palestinian recognition is not anti-peace; it is anti-illusion**. It is a stance



against rewarding terror and supporting a more stable, secure future for Israelis, Palestinians, and Europeans alike.

7 Bawer, B. (2013, February 13). *First Ayaan, Now Fiamma*. Islamist Watch. https://www.meforum.org/islamistwatch/first-ayaan-now-fiamma



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> US firmly rejects France's attempt to recognize Palestinian state at UN. (2025, May 29). The Jerusalem Post | JPost.com. https://www.jpost.com/israel-news/politics-and-diplomacy/article-855969

<sup>2</sup> All Arab News. (2024, March 21). *Over 70% of Palestinians approve of Hamas invasion on Oct.7, recent poll shows*. https://allarab.news/over-70-of-palestinians-approve-of-hamas-invasion-on-oct-7-recent-poll-shows/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Samuel, H. (2023, November 16). Gaza's future is not up to Israel to decide, says France. *The Telegraph*. https://www.telegraph.co.uk/world-news/2023/11/16/gaza-future-israel-decide-palestinian-authority-france-war/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Irish, J. (2025, May 25). *Macron navigates rocky path to recognising Palestinian state*. Reuters. https://www.reuters.com/world/middle-east/macron-navigates-rocky-path-recognising-palestinian-state-2025-05-28/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Bellaishe, A. (2025). When recognition becomes evasion: Europe's Palestinian Statehood campaign. *Jerusalem Center for Security and Foreign Affairs*, 25(10). https://jcpa.org/article/when-recognition-becomes-evasion-europes-palestinian-statehood-campaign

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> NEWS WIRES. (2024, January 25). Anti-Semitic acts nearly quadrupled last year in France, says Jewish organisation. *France 24*. https://www.france24.com/en/europe/20240125-anti-semitic-acts-nearly-quadrupled-last-year-in-france-says-jewish-organisation

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Fredman, A. (2025, May 15). *Recognising a Palestinian state should follow reforms, not terror*. The Jewish Chronicle. https://www.thejc.com/opinion/recognising-a-palestinian-state-should-follow-reforms-not-terror-jofmfcz3